



National
Aeronautics and
Space
Administration

NASA Scientific and Technical Document Availability Authorization (DAA)

The DAA approval process applies to all forms of published NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI), whether disseminated in print or electronically. It is to be initiated by the responsible NASA Project Officer, Technical Monitor, author, or other appropriate NASA official for all presentations, reports, papers, and proceedings that contain NASA STI. Explanations are on the back of this form and are presented in greater detail in NPG 2200.2, "Guidelines for Documentation, Approval, and Dissemination of NASA Scientific and Technical Information."

☐ Original
☐ Modified

I. DOCUMENT/PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

TITLE The effects of elevated CO2 on a subtropical scrub oak-palmetto plant community.		AUTHOR(S) Viegas, D., C.R. Hinkle, and B.G. Drake.	
Newsletter 7 from The Institute of Genetic Ecology, Tohoku University, Japan. Newsletter 7: 5-7.			
ORIGINATING NASA ORGANIZATION Kennedy Space Center Biomedical Office		PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (If different) Dynamac Corporation, KSC	
CONTRACT/GRANT/INTERAGENCY/PROJECT NUMBER(S)		DOCUMENT NUMBER(S) See Title Block.	DOCUMENT DATE 1995

For presentations, documents, or other STI to be externally published (including through electronic media), enter appropriate information on the intended publication such as name, place, and date of conference, periodical, or journal name, or book title and publisher in the next box. These documents must be routed to the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator for approval (see Sections III and VIII).



II. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

CHECK ONE (One of the five boxes denoting Security Classification must be checked.)

☐ SECRET ☐ SECRET RD ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ CONFIDENTIAL RD ☒ UNCLASSIFIED

III. AVAILABILITY CATEGORY

<input type="checkbox"/> ITAR <input type="checkbox"/> EAR	Export Controlled Document - USML Category Classification Number (ECCN) <i>(Documents marked in this block must have the concurrence/approval of the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator (see Section VIII).)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> TRADE SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> SBIR <input type="checkbox"/> COPYRIGHTED	Confidential Commercial Document (check appropriate box at left and indicate below the appropriate limitation and expiration): <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Government agencies and U.S. Government agency contractors only <input type="checkbox"/> NASA contractors and U.S. Government only <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Government agencies only <input type="checkbox"/> NASA personnel and NASA contractors only <input type="checkbox"/> NASA personnel only <input type="checkbox"/> Available only with the approval of issuing office: <input type="checkbox"/> Limited until (date)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLICLY AVAILABLE	Publicly available documents must be unclassified, may not be export controlled, may not contain trade secret or confidential commercial data, and should have cleared any applicable patents application process.

IV. DOCUMENT DISCLOSING AN INVENTION

THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE RELEASED ON (date)	NASA HQ OR CENTER PATENT OR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL SIGNATURE	DATE
--	---	------

V. BLANKET RELEASE (OPTIONAL)

- ☐ All documents issued under the following contract/grant/project number may be processed as checked in Sections II and III.
- ☐ The blanket release authorization granted on (date)
- ☐ is RESCINDED - Future documents must have individual availability authorizations.
- ☐ is MODIFIED - Limitations for all documents processed in the STI system under the blanket release should be changed to conform to blocks as checked in Sections II and III.

VI. AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR VERIFICATION			
I HAVE DETERMINED THAT THIS PUBLICATION:			
<input type="checkbox"/> DOES contain export controlled, confidential commercial information, and/or discloses an invention for which a patent has been applied, and the appropriate limitation is checked in Sections III and/or IV.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> does NOT contain export controlled, confidential commercial information, nor does it disclose an invention for which a patent has been applied, and may be released as indicated above.			
NAME OF AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR	MAIL CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE
C. Ross Hinkle	Dyn-2	<i>C. Ross Hinkle</i>	4-7-98
VII. PROJECT OFFICER/TECHNICAL MONITOR/DIVISION CHIEF REVIEW			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION AS MARKED ON REVERSE <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPROVED			
NAME OF PROJECT OFFICER OR TECH. MONITOR	MAIL CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE
RAYMOND M. WHEELER	JJ-6	<i>R. M. Wheeler</i>	4/10/98
VIII. EXPORT CONTROL REVIEW/CONFIRMATION			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public release is approved <input type="checkbox"/> Export controlled limitation is not applicable			
<input type="checkbox"/> Export controlled limitation is approved <input type="checkbox"/> Export controlled limitation (ITAR/EAR) marked in Section III is assigned to this document:			
USML CATEGORY NUMBER	CCL ECCN NUMBER	HQ OR CENTER EXPORT CONTROL ADMINISTRATOR (as applicable)	DATE
		<i>Dennis J. Miller</i>	4/14/98
IX. PROGRAM OFFICE OR DELEGATED AUTHORITY REVIEW			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION AS MARKED ON REVERSE <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPROVED CODE M, DAA LETTER, 6/14/94			
NAME OF PROGRAM OFFICE REPRESENTATIVE	MAIL CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE
Audrey Lee Silipo	FF-S2-A	<i>Audrey Lee Silipo</i>	4/14/98
X. DISPOSITION			
THIS FORM, WHEN COMPLETED, IS TO BE SENT TO YOUR CENTER PUBLICATIONS OFFICE			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY AUTHORIZATION (DAA) FORM

Purpose. This DAA form is used to prescribe the availability and distribution of all NASA-generated and NASA-funded documents containing scientific and technical information (including those distributed via electronic media such as the World Wide Web and CD-ROM).

Requirements. The author/originator must provide either a suitable summary description (title, abstract, etc.) or a completed copy of the document with this form. This form is initiated by the document author/originator and that individual is responsible for recommending/determining the availability/distribution of the document. The author/originator completes Sections I through III, and VI. The author/originator is also responsible for obtaining information and signature in Section IV to the extent the document discloses an invention for which patent protection has been applied. Subsequent to completion of these sections, the author/originator forwards the document to the appropriate Project Manager/Technical Monitor/Division Chief for further review and approval in Section VII, including a re-review of the planned availability and distribution. Once this approval is obtained, the DAA is forwarded to the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Administrator for completion of Section VIII. It is then forwarded for completion of Section IX to the cognizant NASA Headquarters Program Office or Delegated Authority, who provides final review and approval for release of the document as marked.

When to Use This Form. Documents containing STI and intended for presentation or publication (including via electronic media) must be approved in accordance with the NASA STI Procedures and Guidelines (NPG 2200.2). Documents that are to be published in the NASA STI Report Series must be coordinated with the appropriate NASA Headquarters or Center Scientific and Technical Information Office in accordance with NPG 2200.2. Note that information on the Report Documentation Page (if attached) is not to be entered on the DAA except for title, document date, and contract number.

How to Use this Form. Specific guidelines for each section of this form are detailed below.

I. Document/Project Identification. Provide the information requested. If the document is classified, provide instead the security classification of the title and abstract. (Classified information must not be entered on this form). Include RTOP numbers on the Contract/Grant/Interagency/Project Number(s) line. Provide information on presentations or externally published documents as applicable.

II. Security Classification. Enter the applicable security classification for the document. Documents, if classified, will be available only to appropriately cleared personnel having a "need to know."

III. Availability Category for Unclassified Documents. Check the appropriate category or categories.

Export Controlled Document. If the document is subject to export restrictions (see NPG 2200.2, paragraph 4.5.3), the appropriate restriction must be checked, either International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) or Export Administration Regulations (EAR), and the appropriate United States Munitions List (USML) category or Commerce Control List (CCL), Export Control Classification Number (ECCN) must be cited.

Confidential Commercial Documents (Documents containing Trade Secrets, SBIR documents, and/or Copyrighted Information). Check the applicable box (see NPG 2200.2 paragraph 4.5.7). When any of these boxes are checked, also indicate the appropriate limitation and expiration in the list to the right of these restrictions. These limitations refer to the user groups authorized to obtain the document. The limitations apply both to the initial distribution of the documents and the handling of requests for the documents. The limitations will appear on and apply to reproduced copies of the document. Documents limited to NASA personnel should not be made available to onsite contractors. If the Available Only With the Approval of Issuing Office limitation is checked, the NASA Center for Aerospace Information will provide only bibliographic processing and no initial distribution; CASI will refer all document requests to the issuing office.

Publicly Available Document - Unrestricted Distribution. Check this box if the information in the document may be made available to the general public without restrictions (unrestricted domestic and international distribution). If the document is copyrighted (see paragraph 4.5.7.3 in NPG 2200.2), also check the "Copyrighted" box in this section.

IV. Document Disclosing an Invention. This must be completed when the document contains information that discloses an invention (see NPG 2200.2, paragraph 4.5.9). When this box is checked, an additional appropriate availability category must be checked. Use of this category must be approved by NASA Headquarters or Center Patent Counsel or the Intellectual Property Counsel.

V. Blanket Release (Optional). Complete this optional section whenever subsequent documents produced under the contract, grant, or project are to be given the same distribution and/or availability as described in Sections II and III. More than one contract number or RTOP Number can be entered. This section may also be used to rescind or modify an earlier Blanket Release. All blanket releases must be approved by the Program Office or its designee and concurred with by the Office of Management Systems and Facilities.

VI. Author/Originator Verification. Required for all DAA forms.

VII. Project Officer/Technical Monitor/Division Chief Review. The Project Officer/Technical Monitor/Author or Originator Division Chief or above must sign and date the form. The office code and typed name should be entered.

VIII. Export Control Review/Confirmation. This section is to be completed by the authorized NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator for all documents.

IX. Program Office or Delegated Authority Review. This section is to be completed by the duly authorized official representing the NASA Headquarters Program Office. Any delegation from NASA Headquarters to a NASA Center in accordance with NPG 2200.2 should be entered here.

X. Disposition. For NASA Center use.

The Effects of Elevated CO₂ on a Subtropical Scrub Oak-Palmetto Plant Community

David Vieglaiss

NRC Research Associate, Mail Code DYN-2, Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899, USA

C. Ross Hinkle

Manager, Biological Programs, Dynamac Corporation, Mail Code DYN-2,
Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899, USA

Bert Drake

Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, MD 21037, USA

Rising atmospheric CO₂ is expected to alter the global climate (Bolin, 1986). In addition, rising CO₂ will alter key biological processes which regulate the global carbon cycle in the short term of decades to centuries. But without field experiments, we cannot tell how large these effects will be. Experiments being conducted at KSC are intended to address the question of the impact of rising CO₂ on ecosystem processes.

Atmospheric CO₂ concentration directly effects photosynthesis and respiration. These processes cycle more than 20 times more carbon annually between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystems than is produced by all anthropogenic processes. It has been estimated that during the past decade, an additional 0.4-2.4 GT. C/yr. has been added to terrestrial ecosystems as a result of the direct effect of rising atmospheric CO₂ on the global carbon cycle since the beginning of the industrial revolution. Such estimates are based on models which are simplifications of natural processes and without field experiments, we do not know how well they will predict the effects of rising CO₂ on the carbon budget of the future. It is particularly important to study the effect of rising CO₂ on the global carbon budget because unless we understand how it is regulated we cannot predict how high future atmospheric CO₂ concentration may rise as a result of burning fossil fuels and deforestation.

We have conducted a 2.5 year (1992-1995) pilot study of the effects of twice ambient CO₂ on native scrub oak-palmetto vegetation at Kennedy Space Center (KSC). This study was a precursor to a long term study (3 plus years) to be conducted by the Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, with funding provided by the US Department of Energy.

The scrub oak-palmetto community at KSC is dominated by three sclerophyllous oaks (*Quercus geminata*, *Q. myrtifolia*, and *Q. chapmanii*) which range from 2-3 m in height and a repent palmetto (*Serenoa repens*). They are fire maintained plant communities that are exposed to a natural fire return cycle of eight to 12 years. The low stature of the vegetation is maintained by a combination of the frequent fires, water stress, and nutrient poor soil conditions (Schmalzer and

Hinkle, 1992a, 1992b, 1995). The structure and function of the scrub oak-palmetto ecosystem is quite similar to forests of larger stature, and hence provides a convenient model for investigating a woody system with a mature canopy and mature nutrient cycle with seasonal litter fall. This ecosystem also provides a model to test the effects of elevated CO₂ in a temperate, subtropical system with high irradiance and high evaporative demand.

Open top chamber studies

We used nine 2.4 m diameter plots selected from a native stand of scrub oak-palmetto. Six plots were enclosed with open top chambers of design similar to that used by Drake (1992) in a salt marsh ecosystem near Chesapeake Bay, Maryland, USA. The vegetation in three of the chambers was exposed to twice ambient concentration of atmospheric CO₂ 2.5 years. Soil and air temperature, incoming photosynthetically active radiation, relative humidity, soil moisture content and wind speed and direction were continuously monitored using a computer controlled data logging system. Above-ground biomass and shoot phenology were monitored periodically. Root development was monitored using minirhizotrons. Community CO₂ uptake and evapotranspiration were measured by capping the chambers and monitoring concentrations of CO₂ and water vapor entering and leaving the chambers. Leaf measurements of respiration, CO₂ assimilation, and transpiration were also made at intervals throughout the study.

Responses of the scrub oak-palmetto ecosystem to elevated CO₂

Results from the pilot study showed accelerated above ground biomass regeneration, increased water use efficiency, increased carbon assimilation, decreased tissue respiration, and increased the number of fine roots and their penetration into the soil. These findings are similar to those observed in other systems, and provide important information about the behavior of nutrient poor, sclerophyllous communities under an atmosphere of increased concentration of CO₂. An overview of the results obtained to date is provided in Table 1. *Quercus*

geminata and *Q. myrtifolia* showed differential response to CO₂, with *Q. myrtifolia* showing relatively greater response.

Table 1. Summary of results from study of CO₂ effects on carbon cycling in Florida scrub oak-palmetto community. Values indicate % increase or decrease due to elevated CO₂.

	Parameter	Relative Change	Reference
Physiology	Photosynthesis	10 to 20	Vieglais et al.
	Carbohydrate	22	Jacob et al.
	Protein (sol.)	-55	Jacob et al.
	PSII activity	25	Jacob et al.
	Tissue [N]	-20	Drake et al.
	Respiration	-30	Day and Weber
Canopy and Ecosystem	CO ₂ exchange	24	Vieglais et al.
	Transpiration	-25	Vieglais et al.
	Water use effic.	53	Vieglais et al.
	Shoots, Leaves	37	Vieglais et al.
	Root production	93	Day and Weber

The effects of elevated CO₂ shown in this pilot study are similar to those reported in other studies. The sustained higher rates of photosynthesis, reduced dark respiration, reduced tissue nitrogen concentration, increased levels of nonstructural carbohydrate, reduced water loss per unit leaf area, increased fine root density all suggest that rising atmospheric CO₂ will increase terrestrial carbon and alter the distribution of carbon among the different pools of carbon.

Our work will continue to look at the effects of elevated CO₂ on carbon accumulation in the soil and to study the effects of elevated CO₂ on nutrient cycling. We plan to evaluate ecosystem carbon balance through studies of the acclimation of physiological processes (photosynthesis and dark respiration), ecosystem carbon accumulation (ecosystem gas exchange, allocation of carbon to shoots, root biomass, root development, decomposition, soil respiration, soil microbial activity and soil carbon accumulation by ¹³C discrimination), resource interactions (water balance and stand level nutrient cycling), plant insect interactions, and the development of a carbon flux ecosystem model.

Acknowledgement

This work represents the efforts of many people. The authors wish to especially acknowledge William M. Knott, John C. Sager, John Drese, Christina Cundari, James Jacob, Guy Thompson, Esther Beugeling, Gary Peresta, Miguel Gonzalez-Meler and Roser Matamala-Paradeda.

References

- Bolin, B.(1986) How much CO₂ will remain in the atmosphere? The carbon cycle and projections for the future. In: Warrick, B. and Jager, D. (eds.) "The Greenhouse Effect, Climatic Change, and Ecosystems" pp.93-156. John-Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Day, F.P. and Weber, E.P.(1994) Effects of elevated CO₂ on root length density, distribution and turnover in a scrub oak-palmetto community in central Florida. Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America: In Press.
- Drake, B.G.(1992) A field study of the effects of elevated CO₂ on ecosystem processes in a Chesapeake Bay wetland. Australian Journal of Botany 40: 579-595.
- Jacob, J., Vieglais, D.A., Hinkle, C.R. and Drake, B.G.(In Prep.) Acclimation of photosynthetic biochemistry and photochemistry to elevated CO₂ treatment in native scrub oak vegetation.
- Schmalzer, P.A. and Hinkle, C.R.(1992a) Species composition and structure of oak-saw palmetto scrub vegetation. Castanea 57(4):220-251.
- Schmalzer, P.A. and Hinkle, C.R.(1992b) Recovery of oak-saw palmetto scrub after fire. Castanea 53:158-173.
- Schmalzer, P.A. and Hinkle, C.R.(1995) Biomass and nutrients in Florida oak-saw palmetto scrub. Castanea (in review).6
- Vieglais, D.A., Dugas, W.M., Thompson, G., Hinkle, C.R. and Drake, B.G.(1994) Differential species response to elevated CO₂ in native Florida scrub oak-palmetto community. ESA Annual Meeting, Session 72, 11 Aug, 1994.